Appln. No. 10/667,627

Attorney Docket No. 10541-1869

II. Remarks

Reconsideration and re-examination of this application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is herein respectfully requested.

After entering this amendment, claims 1, 3-11, and 13-16 remain pending.

Claim Amendments

Claim 1 has been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim that which is regarded as the invention by incorporating the limitations of claim 2 therein. Accordingly, claim 2 has been canceled. In addition, claim 10 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 12, as well as reciting the limitation of staggered gaps as disclosed in paragraph 15 of the specification. Accordingly, claim 12 has been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-4, 6, and 9-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 132(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0203344 ("Fang"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

As noted by the Examiner, Fang discloses an automotive heat exchanger. More specifically, it discloses a heat exchanger including an oil cooling section, first and second manifolds, and a plurality of tubes defining an oil flow passage. *Fang*, para. 31 and 40 and Fig. 1. The tubes in Fang are extruded metal with an internal configuration that may include minor structures, such as grooves, ridges or bosses (*id.* at para. 49), not fins as claimed. Referring to claim 1, it is submitted that Fang fails to disclose a

10/13/2005 16:01 FAX 17349946331

BRINKS, HOFER, ET AL

Ø008

Appln. No. 10/667,627

Attorney Docket No. 10541-1869

plurality of metal tubes with <u>fins</u> extending from an inner wall into the oil flow passage.

The rejection based thereon should be accordingly withdrawn.

Referring now to claim 10, it is submitted that in addition to failing to disclose fins

extending from an inner wall into the oil flow passage, Fang also fails to disclose gaps

between opposed fins wherein the gaps are staggered across the tube and each gap is

offset relative to adjacent gaps. Accordingly, the rejection based thereon should be

withdrawn.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 5 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0209344 ("Fan; "). Applicant

respectfully traverses these rejections.

The comments presented above regarding claims 1 and 10 are equally

applicable to the present rejection in that Fang fails to disclose every element claimed

in the present invention. Therefore, it must be concluded that Fang cannot render the

claims of these dependent claims as obvious. The rejection under § 103(a) should

accordingly be withdrawn.

Claims 7, 8, 15 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0209344 ("Fang") in

view of U.S. Patent No. 6,231,158 ("Rhodes"). Applicant respectfully traverses these

rejections.

The comments presented above regarding claims 1 and 10 are equally

applicable to the present rejection in that Fang fails to disclose every element claimed

-7-

10/13/2005 16:01 FAX 17349946331

BRINKS, HOFER, ET AL

Ø 009

Appln. No. 10/667,627

Attorney Docket No. 10541-1869

in the present invention. Therefore, it must be concluded that Fang individually and when combined with Rhodes, fails to disclose or suggest the features lacking in the claims, namely fins extending from the inner wall of the metal tubes into the oil flow

passage and gaps between the fins staggered across the oil tube.

In that Rhodes fails to disclose or suggest the fins and gaps which were previously noted as being absent in Fang, it must be concluded that the combination of Fang in view of Rhodes cannot render the dependent claims of the present application as obvious. The rejection under § 103 is therefore improper and should be withdrawn.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

October 13, 2005

Date

Attachments: None

EJS/DPH/AEL

Enc J, Sosenko (Reg.

-8-